



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/970,066	11/13/1997	PRADEEP K. DHAL	C-8232	2141

20349 7590 06/13/2005

POLAROID CORPORATION  
PATENT DEPARTMENT  
1265 MAIN STREET  
WALTHAM, MA 02451

EXAMINER
----------

ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1756

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


06082005

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**


**Commissioner for Patents**

The reply brief of 1/24/2005 has been received and made of record. The examiner notes that the related appeal is properly identified as appeal number 2000-1079. The applicant transposed this to read "2000-1709". The applicant contends that appeal number 2000-1079 does not bind the Board of Appeals in its decision in the present application. The examiner agrees, but notes that the construction of the rejection being adjudicated may reduce the question to one of the rejection applying to the claimed invention. This, together with its relevance to the issues in the present application is for the Board of Appeals to determine. The examiner previously discussed the findings of the affidavit/declaration of David A. Waldman filed 08/07/2003 on page 11 of the Examiner's Answer of 11/22/2004 and has no further comment. The examiner also has no comment beyond that appearing on page 9 of the examiner's answer with respect to the binder, monomer and their inherent physical properties including refractive index. The arguments on pages 8-11 with respect to issues (d) and (e) have already been addressed on pages 9-12 of the Examiner's Answer.



Martin J. Angebranndt  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1756

re



Appl. No. 08/970,066  
Reply Brief dated January 24, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 08/970,066 Confirmation No.: 2141  
Applicant(s): Pradeep K. Dhal et al.  
Filed : November 13, 1997  
Title : HOLOGRAPHIC MEDIUM AND PROCESS  
: FOR USE THEREOF  
TC/A.U. : 1756  
Examiner : M.J. Angebranndt

Docket No. : 8232-CPA  
Customer No.: 20349

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES .....	2
STATUS OF CLAIMS .....	3
ISSUES.....	3
REBUTTAL ARGUMENT .....	3
I. The Affidavit.....	3
II. Issue (c).....	6
III. Issue (d).....	8
IV. Issue (e).....	11
V. Issue (f).....	13
CONCLUSION .....	14
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING.....	15

01/28/2005 CNGUYEN 00000086 162195 08970066

01 FC:1402 500.00 DA